

Campus Planning Committee
March 29, 2010 2:00-4:00 p.m.
Minutes

Members Present: Todd Lee, Gene Lucas, Henning Bohn, Michael Young, David Marshall, Larry Coldren, Gary Greinke, Gary Leal, Joel Michaelson, Ron Cortez, Tania Israel, Richard Watts, Linda Flegal,

Members Absent: Marc Fisher, Michael Witherell, Nancy Gallagher, Diana Dyste Anzures, Paul Monge-Rodriguez,

Alternates Present: Stanley Tzankov

I. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Assistant Chancellor Todd Lee discussed Governor Brown's plans to cut UC funding by \$500 million as part of his 2011-12 state budget proposal. The cuts could go even deeper if the proposed tax extensions are not enacted. For the first time tuition funds will surpass state contribution. The proposed cut takes back UC to state-funding levels equivalent to 1998-99, when the university was serving 73,000 fewer students than the 235,000 enrolled today. Santa Barbara's portion of the \$500 million cut will be approximately \$35 million (after UCOP absorbs a portion of the cut). This \$35 million cut is on top of the \$166 million net reduction in state funding for the campus over the past decade. Additionally our campus must also absorb a number of unfunded expenses including increased employer contributions to pensions and health benefits, increased maintenance and utility costs and faculty retention and replacement. The campus has planned for a severe budget cut and has already taken steps to fill the gap identifying a combination of one-time and permanent measures to reduce the budget gap for 2011-12 to about \$7 million. The campus will continue to identify short-term and long-term solutions with UCOP and elected officials. An example of a long-term strategy the campus has enacted the "Operational Effectiveness" initiative which aims to reduce costs in campus operations through streamlining and consolidation. Additionally, the campus is actively pursuing incremental increases in the number of out-of-state and international students without displacing our funded in-state students. The campus estimates a 500 person increase of these non-resident students would generate \$11 million to help close the budget gap.

II. MINUTES

The minutes from February 22, 2011 were approved as written.

III. ACTION ITEMS

A. Preliminary Project Proposal, Santa Rosa Residence Hall Fire Safety and Renewal

The Committee, by consensus, recommends that the Chancellor approve consultant selection based on the current budget of \$7,500,000. Director Willie Brown provided project financing sheets to address questions from the previous CPC meeting. Due to the condensed period of time the project increased in cost, but will have very minimal debt since the project has its own revenue stream.

B. Preliminary Project Proposal, Campus Hot Water Loop

The Committee, by consensus, recommends that the Chancellor approve the project proceeding with design and progressing into construction documents subject to the approval of a more detailed financial feasibility study. The project estimated a 50% energy reduction for each building which is connected.

C. Preliminary Project Proposal, Storke Field Artificial Turf and Lighting

The Committee, by consensus, recommends that the Chancellor approve the installation of artificial turf and lighting on Storke play fields and artificial turf on the Lacrosse Field contingent upon the approval of a student vote. The action does not constitute site approval for the Lacrosse Field.

D. Preliminary Project Proposal, University Center 3rd Floor Renovation

The Committee, by consensus, recommends that the Chancellor approve the project proceeding with consultant selection and, pending the outcome of a student vote, progression into Detailed Project Programming to renovate existing space on the 3rd floor of the University Center.

E. Proposed Project Action, Ortega Dining Commons Seismic Corrections Project

The Committee, by consensus, recommends that the Chancellor approve construction on the Ortega Dining Commons to raise the building's seismic rating to GOOD.

F. Preliminary Project Proposal, Parking Structure 22 Photovoltaic Array

The Committee, by consensus, recommends that the Chancellor approve installation of photovoltaic array on the rooftop of Parking Structure 22 contingent upon the approval of a more detailed financial feasibility analysis.

IV DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Campus Planning Committee Process Changes

Interim Director Chuck Haines continued his discussion regarding formalizing CPC project review. Projects would fall under 3 categories: 1) Exempt, including projects under \$5 million and without any external financing; 2) Consent, projects between \$750,000 - \$10 million which could incur debt, non-state funded and/or making minor building modifications; and 3) Full Review, projects totaling \$750,000 and up which would go through the normal process of review with approvals at the PPP, DPP, and schematic design phase. Projects over \$60 million will go to Regents review.

B. Preliminary Project Proposal, Campbell Hall Seismic Renovation

Interim Director Haines introduced the project to renovate Campbell Hall. Campbell Hall project was identified in the campus' Ten-Year Capital & Financial Plan (CFP) that was recently approved by the Office of the President. As listed, the project was proposed assuming \$10 million of gift funding and was shown in year 2012/13 of the CFP. Late in 2010, as part of UC's update of systemwide CFPs, the campus was able to add another project to its State-funded Capital Improvement Program, and proposed shifting the

Campbell Hall renewal project to state funding and increasing its project budget by \$5 million to total \$15 million.

Current UC systemwide state capital planning priorities are focused on seismic repairs, code and life-safety building renewals and infrastructure projects. Although Campbell Hall has a seismic rating of “Good,” a recent study identified seismic deficiencies that would downgrade it to “Fair” and identified other related life-safety problems that require repair. Additionally, because the building is 50 years old and has a substantial list of major deferred maintenance, an overall refurbishment would be justifiable.

Campbell Hall is a high demand multi-use facility that serves by day as the campus’ largest instructional facility, and by night (and weekends) as a performance venue for student groups and the Arts and Lectures (A&L) program. In the summer, Campbell Hall also supports conference services and other fee supported public use functions. The Office of the Registrar routinely schedules Campbell Hall for general academic instruction from 8:00 to 3:00 MWF and 8:00 to 2:00 TTh with an overall average enrollment of about 675 students/course.

Instructional Development (ID) manages the facility, and is responsible for the coordination of all lighting, audio, visual and hearing assistance and wireless technology in support of instructional programs using the facility. On most days ID prepares for seven different academic lectures. Upon completion of the academic schedule at 3:00 p.m., they work with student groups and A&L program and stage directors, e.g., coordinating equipment delivery and setup, organization of theatrical sets or props, conducting sound checks and rigging stage lighting in support of the program. Given high demands and usage of Campbell Hall, there is overlap in staging preparations—for instruction, A&L and student programming—that puts great pressure staff and on the wear and tear of the facility.

Problem areas are defined in 4 categories: 1) Building Seismic, Life-Safety, Hazardous Materials and Accessibility Deficiencies 2) Storm Flooding, 3) Impacts from Deferred Maintenance (DM), 4) Operational Deficiencies.

Issues raised: Phasing of the project, compensation for departments that must split class sizes, difficulty in scheduling classes elsewhere., the campus may need to look into remote lectures broadcasted in several rooms.

V. INFORMATION & FOLLOW-UP ITEMS

A. Status Report: Special Projects Subcommittee

No report.

B. Status Report: Design Review Committee

No report.

C. Status Report: Faculty & Staff Housing

Assistant Chancellor Lee reported that the first phase of the North Campus for-sale faculty housing project will be completed this summer. The final CC&Rs are being drafted. Pricing is close to being finalized and the campus hopes to make a job offer for the Executive Director of the Community Housing Authority soon.

D. Status Report: Student Housing

Senior Associate Vice Chancellor Fisher announced that Urban Design Associates (UDA) have just finished a master plan study for the proposed San Joaquin Apartments located near Santa Catalina Residence Hall. The student housing committee needs to discuss staging in relation to the LRDP.

E. Status Report: Major Capital Projects

Attached.

VI. Correspondence

Meeting adjourned at 3:45 PM

Minutes taken by Jasmine Syed, Office of Budget & Planning